



0380 4/15/94

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of:

Docket No.: 2813-D

LYMAN, Stewart D. and
BECKMANN, M. Patricia

Serial No.: 08/209,502

Examiner: Unknown

Filing Date: March 7, 1994

Group Art Unit: Unknown

For: LIGANDS FOR FLT3 RECEPTORS

RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS

Hon. Commissioner of
Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Attn: J. Dill
Application Processing Division
Special Processing and Correspondence Branch

RECEIVED
JUN - 1 1994
APPLICATION DIVISION

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application having a mailing date of April 15, 1994, Applicants submit for filing herewith a Deferred Declaration executed by the inventors and a copy of form PTO-1533.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge the required surcharge of \$130.00 for deferred filing of the enclosed Declaration to Deposit Account No. 09-0089. A duplicate copy of this Response is enclosed for this reason.

In further response to the Notice, which stated that the application does not comply with Sequence Rule 37 CFR 1.821-1.825, applicants note that 37 CFR 1.821(e) states:

...If the computer readable form of a new application is to be identical with the computer readable form of another application of the applicant on file in the Office, reference may be made to the other application and computer readable form in lieu of filing a duplicate computer readable form in the new application. The new application shall be accompanied by a letter making such a reference to the other application and computer readable form, both of which shall be completely identified.

When the above-referenced patent application was filed applicants submitted a paper (copy attached) that completely identified the parent application, and specifically referred to the computer readable form filed with the parent application, using the language recited in 37 CFR 1.821(e). Accordingly, applicants believe that the Notice to File Missing Parts was issued in error, and request that such Notice be withdrawn. If the Patent Office does not believe the Notice was issued in error, applicants request a specific description of why the filing papers did